EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT 50 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 3 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                         SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
       CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                    )
                                    )
 6
                     Plaintiff,
                                    ) Case No.
 7
                                    ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)
                VS.
 8
       ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.
 9
                     Defendant.
10
11
12
13
     *** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***
14
15
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BALAJI VENKATRAMAN
16
                       Palo Alto, California
17
                       Tuesday, May 2, 2016
                               Volume I
18
19
20
21
       Reported by:
22
       CARLA SOARES
23
       CSR No. 5908
24
       Job No. 2302931
25
       Pages 1 - 116
                                                      Page 1
```

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 4 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

So the commands such as show, the show command, show interface, these are all generally common to devices from multiple vendors and would be candidates for being part of the standard. But do you know, are you aware as you sit here today of any website or document where I could go find the standard that you're referring to? I have not gone and researched any website Α where one can find the standard. Are you aware of where, if someone wanted to go find the standard, they could go locate a specific document or locate a specific website where that standard would actually exist? R&D teams that are responsible for Α creating the CLIs would know, and there are generally well-known standards body websites where such information might be available. I have not gone and looked or researched that. Have you ever had a conversation with Q anybody at HP's R&D department where they suggested or told you that there is a document or a website they could go to to check on this command line interface standard? No, I have not. Α So how is it that you know one exists? 0 Page 109

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 5 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 So these are industry standards that most vendors implement in order to present a consistent 2 3 interface. 4 Sometimes standard -- every document that 5 HP referred to had "industry standard" in quotes. 6 So sometimes a standard is as published by an industry organization like IETF or ITU in some 8 cases. 9 The industry practices have evolved to 10 adopt a common set of commands, and so they are also 11 generally referred to as standards. But they may 12 not be a specific document like an FTP protocol or 13 an OSPF, which are standards published by -- in the 14 documents. So the definition of "standard" may 15 vary. 16 Q Got it. 17 So it's possible then, when the exhibits 18 that you just walked through with counsel reference 19 industry standard, they're not referring to a 20 specific set standard by a standards-setting body, 2.1 but just sort of a general way to describe the type 22 of CLI that HP uses? 23 Α Correct. 24 Now, you would agree with me, sir, that there are multiple ways to implement a specific CLI 25 Page 110

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 6 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	command, right?
2	A Yes, there are multiple ways to implement
3	CLI.
4	Q And different companies can and do, in
5	fact, create their own CLI commands using different
6	words and syntaxes, correct?
7	A Correct. The syntax may vary across
8	vendors.
9	Q And I believe you testified earlier that
10	vendors, in some instances, have their own
11	proprietary modifications to the industry standard
12	<pre>command line interface, correct?</pre>
13	A Correct.
14	Q And does HP have its own proprietary
<mark>15</mark>	commands?
16	A I'm sure HP has proprietary extensions to
17	the CLI.
18	Q And in those instances, HP would be using
19	a different CLI command than, for instance, Juniper
20	to configure a network device, correct?
21	A Correct. HP syntax would be different and
22	documented.
23	Q So it's fair to say then that even though
24	a CLI command might be similar across different
25	vendors, they can be different, correct?
	Page 111
	1430 111

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 7 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. SANTACANA: Objection. Calls for
2	opinion testimony.
3	THE WITNESS: Either they are the same or
4	they are different.
5	BY MR. HOLMES:
6	Q Right. They can be similar but different?
7	A The syntax may vary.
8	Q Now, you discussed earlier with counsel a
9	product called Network Automation product.
10	Do you remember that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And that's an HP product?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And the analogous product, I believe, that
15	is that HP OEMs for Cisco is the Cisco Network
16	Compliance Manager; is that right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Now, the HP Network Automation product,
19	that's not a router, is it?
20	A No.
21	Q And it's not a switch, correct?
22	A No.
23	Q And I believe your testimony is that the
24	Network Automation product, in fact, supports
25	various network elements, right?
	Page 112

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 549-3 Filed 09/26/16 Page 8 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Yes.
2	Q And so it's fair to say that the Network
3	Automation product is complementary to a router or a
4	switch, correct?
5	A The Network Automation product is a
6	software product that helps manage the
7	configuration changes to the configuration and
8	compliance across multiple vendors.
9	Q Would it be fair to say that the Network
10	Automation product does not specifically compete in
11	the marketplace with a switch or a router?
12	A That is correct. We the switch and the
13	router is not competitive to Network Automation.
14	Q Sir, have you read Cisco's complaint that
15	it filed against Arista?
16	A No.
17	Q Have you read any of the Cisco patents
18	that have been asserted against Arista in this case?
19	A No.
20	Q You've never, I assume then, read any of
21	the claims that are associated with Cisco's patents
22	that are asserted in this case?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q And, sir, you're not a lawyer, are you?
25	A No.
	Page 113
	1436 113